IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.155 OF 2000
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2353 OF 2000
IN
SUIT NO.3349 OF 2000

Roger Alfred Drego & Anr. ....Petitioners
V/s. ....Plaintiffs
Dr.Pearl Angela Drego ....Respondent
....Defendant

Mr.Owen J. Menezes for the Petitioners.

Ms.Geeta Shastri for the Respondent.

CORAM : S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.
DATED : 1ST OCTOBER, 2007.

P.C. :

1. The Plaintiffs have alleged contempt of the order dated 15.9.2000 in Notice of Motion No.2353 of 2000 taken out in the above suit by the Plaintiffs.

2. By an order dated 15.9.2000, an ad-interim order in terms of prayers (a-i), (a-ii) and (b) was passed. Certain other orders were also passed. Essentially it is alleged that despite the said orders, the particulars required to be furnished thereunder, were not furnished. For instance, it is alleged that the Defendant did not disclose the interest of certain investments, did not call upon the

bank to credit the interest and made false statements on oath and she did not furnish information regarding certain relevant point and that the Plaintiffs in fact had particulars regarding U.T.I. investments. This is not an appropriate case for initiating contempt proceedings. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case,it is not possible to state that non-disclosure was willful. This is assuming that there was any nondisclosure. Nor do I find any false statements having made.

3. In the circumstances, the Contempt Petition is dismissed.